(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No.696 of 2002

LOKMANYA SAHAKARI GRIH NIRMAN SANSTHA MARYADIT, A SOCIETY DULY REGISTERED UNDER THE RELEVANT PROVISIONS OF THE M.P. CO-OPERATIVES SOCIETIES ACT, 1960 BEARING REGISTRATION NO.DY. REGISTRAR/RPR/95/82-83 DATED 04.12.1982, ROHINIPURAM RAIPUR

PETITIONER

VERSUS

P.R. No. 696/02
Presented By Shri R.P. Sharma
dated ...4/12/02...

1. **THE DY. REGISTRAR, CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, BESIDE CO-OPERATIVE BANK, G.E. ROAD, RAIPUR.**

2. **SHRI BAL MUKUND SHARMA SON OF SHARMA ADULT RESIDENT OF FLAT NO.C-12, PANDIT DEENDAYAL BAHUMANJILA AVASIYA PARISAR ROHINIPURAM, RAIPUR.**

3. **SHRI TARUN CHATERJEE, MINISTER OF P.W.D., DAU KALYAN SINGH BHAWAN, RAIPUR.**

4. **THE INVESTING OFFICER, LOKMANYA SAHAKARI GRIH NIRMAN SANSTHA MARYADIT , ROHINIPURAM RAIPUR**

शपथ आयुक्त, बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT/ORDER IN THE NATURE OF THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, QUO- WARRANTO PROHIBITION ETC. ETC.

The humble petition of the petitioners above named.

(138)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 696/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

06.07.2004

Shri Alok Sur, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, counsel for respondent No.2.

Heard on I.A. Nos.1957/04 & 4305/04 for withdrawal of the writ petition.

The petitioner in these applications has mentioned that the orders which are under challenge in this writ petition have been withdrawn by the State authorities, therefore, the petitioner is not desirous of prosecuting this matter.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has objection in permitting the petitioner to withdraw the petition.

As per the applications the orders which are under challenge in this petition have been withdrawn by the State authorities, therefore, the petitioner does not want to prosecute this matter. The petitioner is the sole master of his litigation and nobody can compel him to pursue with the matter, as such the petitioner cannot be forced to continue with the petition against his wishes and after withdrawing the orders challenged in this petition by the State authorities this petition has become infructuous. Therefore, the petitioner's applications (I.A. Nos.4305/04 & 1957/04) are allowed and the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Reshma

[पीछे देखिये